

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/010,869	12/07/2001	Richard Warren Tanzer	12870.1	6318	
22827	7590 04/14/2005		EXAMINER		
DORITY & MANNING, P.A.			STEPHENS, JACQUELINE F		
POST OFFICE GREENVILLI	E BOX 1449 E, SC 29602-1449		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3761		
			DATE MAILED: 04/14/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

5P

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/010,869	TANZER ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Jacqueline F Stephens	3761		

before the rining of all Appear Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
·	Jacqueline F Stephens	3761					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 30 March 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF 1. ☐ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follor places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	stension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extermination and the Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further compared to the first the fi	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	•				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: The proposed amendment to claims 1, 19, and The claims did not previously require that the bonding had not previously considered this limitation. Addition therefore the arguments are not persuasive (See 37.0)	23 change the scope of the claims, and agent bond the first wicking layer to the ally, applicant's arguments are based or CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	I therefore require furth e second retention layer n amended claims that w	The examiner will not be entered,				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).							
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	· ——	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-9 and 19-26. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 4,22 and 26. AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		Il be entered and an o	explanation of				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application is	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N						
	Suj	Larry I. Schwartz Dervisory Patent Exa	iminer				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

Group 3700